Criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing in prisoners

Ammara Butt¹, Syed Kumail Abdi², Aamir Hamid³, Farast Ali Dogar⁴, Javaria Fatima⁵

¹Assistant Professor/Head of Psychiatry Department Fatima Jinnah Medical University, Lahore – Pakistan, ²Clinical Psychologist, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore – Pakistan, ³Senior Medical Officer, WAPDA Hospital Lahore, ⁴Assistant Professor, Psychiatry Department Fatima Jinnah Medical University/Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore – Pakistan, ⁵Demonstrator Pharmacology Department, Fatima Jinnah Medical University, Lahore – Pakistan

Correspondence to: Dr. Ammara Butt Email: aamirammara@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Crime is the worldwide problem due to its increasing rate and diverse effects on the whole society.¹ The current study sought to understand criminal behavior in Pakistani culture by exploring the relationship between criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing in prisoners by taking psychological wellbeing as dependent variable.

Subjects and methods: Correlational research design was used and the sample of adult prisoners was selected from District Jail Lahore by using purposive sampling technique. For assessment purpose demographic sheet, Criminal Thinking Scale, Moral Disengagement Scale, and Mental Health Continuum-Short Form were used. The data was stored and analyzed in SPSS version 20.

Results: The results showed significant positive relationship between criminal thinking and moral disengagement whereas, significant negative correlation was found between criminal thinking and psychological wellbeing. Similarly moral disengagement was found to be negatively correlated with psychological wellbeing in prisoners. Regression analysis revealed that criminal thinking negatively predicts the psychological wellbeing. Moreover significant group differences on psychological wellbeing were found between convicted and under trial prisoners.

Conclusion: The study concluded that criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing are significantly correlated in crime context; therefore, various interventions for prediction of criminal attitude in various populations should be made by involving jail administration and the relevant policy makers.

Keywords:

Criminal thinking, moral disengagement, psychological wellbeing, prisoners

INTRODUCTION

The issue of crime is the major concern for the whole population and is often investigated in psychological perspective because crime is found to be associated with psychological issues.¹ In the current era, psychologists and psychiatrists are paying particular attention to psychological wellbeing of prisoners, because prisoners are more susceptible for poor psychological wellbeing due to involvement in criminal activities and the effects of incarceration on the wellbeing.²

At least 405,895 crime cases were reported by Punjab Police in 2017.³ These are threatening statistics for the whole society thus, there is need to understand criminal behavior. One major factor in exploring criminal behavior is determined by measuring criminal thinking.⁴ Criminal thinking is the thought content and process conducive to the initiation and maintenance of habitual law breaking behavior.⁵ Criminals have a

Competing interests: The authors declared no competing interests exist Citation: Butt A, Abdi SK, Dogar FA, Hamid A, Fatima J. Criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing in prisoners. J Fatima Jinnah Med Univ. 2019; 13(2):51-54.

variety of non-criminal and criminal backgrounds, studies have established that individuals from such environment which promote antisocial behavior, are expected to incorporate criminal thinking into their cognitions and subsequently, are more likely to commit crime.⁴ However moral disengagement is also considered crucial factor in the description of criminal behavior.⁶ Moral disengagement involves a process of cognitive re-framing or re-construing of criminal behavior as being morally acceptable without changing the actual behavior or the moral values.⁷ Morally disengaged cognitions are not only related to aggression, but that these cognitions are highly associated with antisocial and criminal behaviors⁸ as well as hostile rumination and violence.⁹

Pakistan has a shortage of forensic literature in this regard, but international literature gives us evidence that moral disengagement operates by morally justifying destructive behavior with an impact of criminal thinking^{8,10} and these criminal activities have negative outcomes on psychological wellbeing.^{11,12} Thus the current study aims to understand criminal behavior in

52 Butt et al

local cultural context, by exploring the relationship between criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing in prisoners by taking psychological wellbeing as dependent variable.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This correlational study conducted at District Jail Lahore after approval of Ethical Review Committee. The study duration was March – August 2018. Total 60 male prisoners (both convicted and under trial) who have been in jail for at least 6 months, with the age range of 20 to 40 years (young adults) were included through purposive sampling technique. participants were interviewed by the researcher. A written informed consent was taken from the participants. Criminal thinking was measured by (CTS)¹³ and moral Criminal Thinking Scale disengagement was assessed on Moral Disengagement Scale (MDS).¹⁴ For measuring psychological wellbeing, Mental Health Continuum-Short Form (MHC-SF)¹⁵ was used which comprised of three sub scales; emotional wellbeing, social wellbeing and psychological wellbeing. All the scales are validated for Pakistani population before conducting this study. The results and demographic details were retrieved using SPSS version 20.

RESULTS

The qualitative characteristics of prisoners such as criminal status and occupation were presented as frequencies and percentages (Table 1). While quantitative characteristics of prisoners such as age and monthly family income were presented as mean and standard deviations (Table 2). In this study, total 60 prisoners were enrolled. By applying Pearson Product Moment Correlation, positive strong correlation between criminal thinking and moral disengagement (pvalue<0.01), negative weak relationship between moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing value<0.05), as well a negative medium association between criminal thinking and psychological wellbeing (p-value<0.01) was found in prisoners. The present study was focused on psychological wellbeing of prisoners; but in addition social wellbeing and emotional wellbeing were also taken into count, and results showed that emotional wellbeing had negative, weak correlation with criminal thinking (p-value<0.05) however emotional, social and psychological wellbeing were highly, positively correlated with each another (pvalue<0.01).

On using Hierarchical Regression, criminal influenced significantly thinking (p-value<0.05), negatively predicted psychological wellbeing in prisoners. However moral disengagement did not predict psychological wellbeing in prisoners. When Independent sample t-test was applied, results showed that there was significant differences on psychological wellbeing (p-value<0.001) and social wellbeing (pvalue<0.05) of convicted and under trial prisoners. Convicted prisoners were higher in psychological and social wellbeing than under trial prisoners. All the collected information was stored and analyzed in SPSS 20.

Table 1. Qualitative characteristics of prisoners

Characteristics	Frequency (%)
Criminal status	
Convicted	17 (28.3)
Under trial	43 (71.7)
Crime committed	
Murder	35 (58.3)
Rape	11 (18.3)
Drugs smuggling	4 (6.7)
Fight/dispute	3 (05)
Corruption	2 (3.3)
Kidnapping	1 (1.7)
Cheating/Forgery/Fraud	1 (1.7)
Attempt to murder	1 (1.7)
Cyber crime	1 (1.7)
Kidney smuggling	1 (1.7)
Area of crime	
Village	14 (23.3)
City	46 (76.7)
History of imprisonment	
1 st time in jail	57 (95)
2 nd time in jail	1 (1.7)
3 rd time in jail	2 (3.3)
Ashamed of crime	• • •
Yes	50 (83.3)
No	10 (16.7)
Father's occupation	, ,
Unemployed	2 (3.3)
Self employed	49 (81.7)
Private job	5 (8.3)
Government job	2 (3.3)
Professional	2 (3.3)
Mother's occupation	, ,
House wife	58 (96.7)
Working	2 (3.3)
Marital status	
Married	38 (63.3)
Unmarried	20 (33.3)
Divorced/ Widower	2 (3.4)
Family system	- (** .)
Joint	39 (65)
Nuclear	21 (35)
Occupation before coming to jail	2. (00)
Unemployed	7 (11.7)
Self employed	41 (68.3)
Private job	8 (13.3)
Government job	3 (05)
Professional	1 (1.7)
i i orcostoriai	1 (1.1)

Table 2. Quantitative characteristics of prisoners

Characteristics	Mean
Age (years + SD)	29.37±6.4
Education (years)	7.03
Father's education (years)	3.6
Mother's education (years)	2.4
Family monthly income (PKR)	25083.3
Total duration of imprisonment (months)	14.5±9.9

DISCUSSION

The present study was carried out at District Jail Lahore, findings revealed that criminal thinking and moral disengagement positively correlated in prisoners. Previous studies like Walters¹⁶ described proactive criminal thinking as moral disengagement and found that proactive (moral disengagement) criminal thinking mediated the agreeableness offending relationship. Similarly Bandura and colleagues¹⁴ determined the role of moral disengagement in deviant behavior in common and violence and delinquency in particular. Moral disengagement negatively related to tolerance toward aggression. punishment fear. and irritability.¹⁷ on criminal Researches thinking and disengagement provide us the evidence of their relationship, as both are associated with criminal behavior, thus it confirms the findings of current research.

The study found negative relationship of criminal thinking and moral disengagement with psychological wellbeing in prisoners. Morgan and colleagues¹⁸ also proposed in their research on mentally disordered offenders, that criminal thinking is associated to mental illness and lack of criminal thinking ensures wellbeing in offenders. In a large group of prisoners, Walters¹⁶ determined the role of criminal thinking in past and future crime relationship, and weak selfefficacy to avoid future legal trouble. Criminal thinking mediated the juvenile delinquency and adult criminality relationship. 19 This phenomenon was also found in another study in which self-indulgent and moral disengagement were found to mediate crime continuity, thus criminal thinking and moral disengagement not only negatively correlated with psychological wellbeing which leads to antisocial behavior, but also mediated the past crime and future crime connection.¹⁶

According to this study criminal thinking was negatively predicting psychological wellbeing in prisoners. The results can be associated with study of Morgan and associates¹⁸ which explored that mentally disordered offenders produce criminal thinking. The research highlighted somewhat same factor that psychological wellbeing is highly affected by imprisonment.²⁰ Individuals develop such values,

attitudes and cognitions by interactions with a criminal group that encourage criminal thinking which further predicts negative mental health outcomes like antisocial behavior.²¹

Results indicated that moral disengagement was not a significant predictor of psychological wellbeing in our cultural context. It can be because of the defense mechanisms we use, as Bandura and group¹⁴ described that morally disengaged persons use defense mechanisms, i.e. moral justification or diffusion of responsibility etc., this keeps them safe from anxiety, depression and feeling of guilt, thus the psychological not does much affected. disengagement is considered the propensity to rationalize one's acts damaging the society with the aim of self-esteem protection, minimizing the responsibility for the injury to the others.⁷

This study revealed that convicted prisoners were significantly high in psychological wellbeing, literature has also confirmed this that prisoners of under trial group were more impaired on wellbeing measure in comparison to convicted criminal's group.²² Prisoners experience major psychological troubles at the start of the punishment, as the time passes, prisoners tend to create adaptation with the environment.¹¹

The research focused on the psychological wellbeing of prisoners, and it is suggested that there is need of mental health professionals at Jail setups to treat co-occurring issues of mental illness and criminality by mental health treatment programs.

CONCLUSION

Criminal thinking, moral disengagement and psychological wellbeing are significantly correlated in crime context; therefore, various interventions for prediction of criminal attitude in various populations should be made by involving jail administration and the relevant policy makers.

RFERENCES

- Cohen MA. The effect of crime on life satisfaction. J Leg Stud. 2008; 37(S2):S325-53.
- Coid J, Yang M, Ullrich S, Roberts A, Moran P, Bebbington P, et al. Psychopathy among prisoners in England and Wales. Int J Law Psychiatry. 2009; 32(3):134-41.
- Javed A. Punjab saw 405,895 crimes in 2017 Lahore: The Nation; 2018 [cited 2019 January 1]. Available from: https://nation.com.pk/22-Jan-2018/punjab-saw-405-895crimes-in-2017.
- Garnefski N, Okma S. Addiction-risk and aggressive/criminal behaviour in adolescence: Influence of family, school and peers. J Adolesc. 1996; 19(6):503-12.

54 Butt et al

 Walters GD. Appraising, researching and conceptualizing criminal thinking: A personal view. Crim Behav Ment Health. 2006;16(2):87-99.

- Gini G, Pozzoli T, Hymel S. Moral disengagement among children and youth: A meta-analytic review of links to aggressive behavior. Aggress Behav. 2014; 40(1):56-68.
- Bandura A. Moral disengagement in the perpetration of inhumanities. Pers Soc Psychol Rev. 1999; 3(3):193-209. DOI: 10.1207/s15327957pspr0303_3
- 8. Risser S, Eckert K. Investigating the relationships between antisocial behaviors, psychopathic traits, and moral disengagement. Int J Law Psychiatry. 2016; 45:70-4.
- Caprara GV, Tisak MS, Alessandri G, Fontaine RG, Fida R, Paciello M. The contribution of moral disengagement in mediating individual tendencies toward aggression and violence. Dev Psychol. 2014; 50(1):71.
- Moore C. Moral disengagement. Curr Opin Psychol. 2015; 6:199-204.
- 11. Sapsford RJ. Life-sentence prisoners: Psychological changes during sentence. Brit J Criminology. 1978; 18:128.
- Lawrence C, Andrews K. The influence of perceived prison crowding on male inmates' perception of aggressive events. Aggress Behav. 2004; 30(4):273-83.
- Knight K, Garner BR, Simpson DD, Morey JT, Flynn PM. An assessment for criminal thinking. Crime & Delinquency. 2006; 52(1):159-77.
- Bandura A, Barbaranelli C, Caprara GV, Pastorelli C. Mechanisms of moral disengagement in the exercise of moral agency. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1996; 71(2):364.

- Karaś D, Cieciuch J, Keyes CL. The polish adaptation of the mental health continuum-short form (MHC-SF). Pers Individ Dif. 2014; 69:104-9.
- Walters GD. Psychological inertia revisited: Replicating and extending the differential effect of proactive and reactive criminal thinking on crime continuity. Deviant Behavior. 2019; 40(2):156-70.
- Čermák I, Blatný M. Personality indicators of aggression and moral disengagement. Studia Psychologica. 1995;37(3):199-201
- Morgan RD, Fisher WH, Duan N, Mandracchia JT, Murray D. Prevalence of criminal thinking among state prison inmates with serious mental illness. Law Hum Behav. 2010; 34(4):324-36
- Walters GD, DeLisi M. Antisocial cognition and crime continuity: Cognitive mediation of the past crime-future crime relationship. J Crim Justice. 2013; 41(2):135-40.
- Fluery-Steiner B. Effects of life imprisonment and the crisis of prisoner health. Criminology & Pub Pol'y. 2015; 14:407.
- Catalano RF, Hawkins JD. A theory of antisocial behavior.
 Delinquency and crime: Current theories. Cambridge University Press; 1996. p.149-56
- Prakash O, Sharma N, Singh AR, Singh Sanger K. Effect of incarceration on well being of prisoners: A study among convicted and undertrials. Int J Indian Psychol. 2015; 3(1):155-64